

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,278	02/20/2002	Robert E. Wagner JR.	28934.0001	3427	
30827 7	590 06/24/2005	EXAMINER			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			BAUSCH, SARAE L		
1900 K STREE	ET, NW N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	14, DC 20000		1634		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/078,278	WAGNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sarae Bausch	1634		

	Sarae Bausch	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in that an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE For	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILED	OWT NIHTIW O		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	_	ejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-55</u> .	,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continutation Sheet.		•	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					
		•			

Application/Control Number: 10/078,278

Art Unit: 1634

## **CONTINUATION**

1. The amendment filed 06/15/2005 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has NOT been entered. The final office action, mailed 04/12/2005, is maintained.

The proposed amendment to claim 29 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The phrase "distinguishing SNP-containing sequences from wild-type sequences by detecting a SNP or a single base pair insertion or deletion" and the phrase "wherein a positive signal is generated only when two or more components are colocalized, thus allowing detection without removal of unreacted probes" as recited in the amendment to claim 29 changes the scope of the claims and requires further search and consideration.

- 2. With regard to the applicants arguments directed to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Kigawa et al. in view of Nolan et al., all arguments are directed to the newly amended claims. As discussed above, the amendments have not been entered and therefore the arguments are most and will not be addressed.
- 3. It is further noted that Claims 29 and 31 do not have the proper identifiers for the adding or deleting text. Claim 29 includes text that was not included in the previously submitted claim 29 on 02/14/2004. Claim 31 is missing text that was included in the previously submitted claim 31 on 02/14/2004.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarae Bausch whose telephone number is (571) 272-2912. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10am-7pm.

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center LVC

yae <del>Ba</del>nsch, PhD

800-786-9199.

ANNE SITTON Art Unit 1634

6/22/05